

1 WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE  
AND DORR LLP  
2 John A. Rogovin (*pro hac vice*)  
Randolph D. Moss (*pro hac vice*)  
3 Samir C. Jain (# 181572)  
Brian M. Boynton (# 222193)  
4 Catherine M.A. Carroll (*pro hac vice*)  
1875 Pennsylvania Ave, NW  
5 Washington, DC 20006  
Tel.: 202-663-6000  
6 Fax: 202-663-6363  
Email: john.rogovin@wilmerhale.com

7  
8 Randal S. Milch (*pro hac vice*)  
Verizon Communications Inc.  
One Verizon Way  
9 VC43E043  
Basking Ridge, NJ 07920  
10 Tel.: 908-559-1752  
Fax: 908-696-2136

11 Attorneys for Verizon Communications Inc.

12 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
13  
14 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
15  
16 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

16 In re: )  
17 )  
18 NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY )  
TELECOMMUNICATIONS RECORDS )  
19 LITIGATION )  
20 )  
21 This Document Relates To: )  
22 *United States v. Rabner*, No. 07-1324; )  
*United States v. Palermino*, No. 07-1326; )  
23 *United States v. Volz*, No. 07-1396; and, )  
*United States v. Adams*, No. 07-1323. )  
24 )  
25 )  
26 )  
27 )  
28 )

MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP  
Henry Weissmann (# 132418)  
Susan R. Szabo (# 155315)  
Aimee A. Feinberg (# 223309)  
355 South Grand Avenue  
35th Floor  
Los Angeles, CA 90071-1560  
Tel.: 213-683-9100  
Fax: 213-683-5150  
Email: Henry.Weissmann@mto.com

MDL Dkt. No. 06-1791 - VRW

**STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]  
ORDER TO EXTEND TIME FOR  
VERIZON DEFENDANTS TO  
RESPOND TO COMPLAINTS**

[Civil L.R. 6-2, 7-1(5), 7-12]

Courtroom: 6, 17<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Judge: Hon. Vaughn R. Walker

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24

## RECITALS

A. On September 1, 2006, the Court granted the Administrative Motion of AT&T and Verizon Defendants to Vacate Pending Filing Deadlines in Cases Transferred by the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation. In that Order, the Court stated “[a]ll pending filing deadlines for cases transferred to this court pursuant to MDL 1791 are hereby vacated until further order of court.” Dkt. 19.

B. On February 15, 2007, the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation ordered the transfer of *United States v. Palermino*, No. 07-1326; *United States v. Adams*, No. 07-1323; *United States v. Gaw*, No. 07-1242; *Clayton v. AT&T Communications of the Southwest, Inc.*, No. 07-1187; *United States v. Rabner*, No. 07-1324; and *United States v. Volz*, No. 07-1396 (the “Federal-State Cases”) to this Court for inclusion in MDL 1791. Dkt. 173.

C. On July 24, 2007, the Court denied without prejudice the United States’ motions for summary judgment and denied as moot the State Defendants’ motions for summary judgment in the Federal-State Cases. The Court further ordered that “[a]fter the Ninth Circuit issues an order in Hepting, the parties may renote their cross motions.” Dkt. 334.

D. On August 20, 2007, the Court entered an Order providing that the AT&T and Cingular defendants in the Federal-State Cases need not respond to the complaints until further order of the Court. Dkt. 352.

E. Both judicial and party economy are served by similarly deferring the Verizon Defendants’ obligation to respond to the complaints in *Palermino*, *Adams*, *Rabner*, and *Volz* until further order of the Court after the Ninth Circuit has provided further guidance and this Court has considered and resolved any renewal of the cross motions originally heard on June 21, 2007.

## STIPULATION

The United States and the Verizon Defendants hereby stipulate that the Verizon Defendants need not answer or otherwise respond to the complaints in *Palermino*, *Adams*, *Rabner*, and *Volz* until a time determined by further order of this Court

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

Respectfully submitted,

Dated:           August 23, 2007           WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE  
AND DORR LLP

MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP

Randal S. Milch

By:     /s/ John A. Rogovin

---

John A. Rogovin

Attorneys for Defendant  
Verizon Communications Inc.

1                                   **DECLARATION PURSUANT TO GENERAL ORDER 45, § X.B**

2           I, John A. Rogovin, hereby declare pursuant to General Order 45, § X.B, that I have  
3 obtained the concurrence in the filing of this document from the other signatory listed below.

4           I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing declaration is true and correct.

5           Executed on August 23, 2007, at Washington, D.C.

6  
7                                   /s/ John A. Rogovin  
8 By \_\_\_\_\_  
9                                   John A. Rogovin

10           Dated: August 23, 2007

11                                   PETER D. KEISLER  
12                                   Assistant Attorney General, Civil Division  
13                                   CARL J. NICHOLS  
14                                   Deputy Assistant Attorney General  
15                                   DOUGLAS N. LETTER  
16                                   Terrorism Litigation Counsel  
17                                   JOSEPH H. HUNT  
18                                   Director, federal Programs Branch  
19                                   ANTHONY J. COPPOLINO  
20                                   Special Litigation Counsel  
21                                   ANDREW H. TANNENBAUM  
22                                   Trial Attorney  
23                                   ALEXANDER HAAS  
24                                   Trial Attorney  
25                                   U.S. Department of Justice  
26                                   Civil Division, Federal Programs Branch  
27                                   20 Massachusetts Avenue, N.W.  
28                                   Room 6102  
                                 Washington, DC 20001  
                                 Telephone: (202) 514-4782  
                                 Fax: (202) 616-8460  
                                 Email: tony.coppolino@usdoj.gov

24 By: /s/ Alexander Haas  
25 \_\_\_\_\_  
26                                   Alexander Haas

27                                   Attorneys for United States of America

1 **[PROPOSED] ORDER**

2 Pursuant to the foregoing stipulation, and good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY  
3 ORDERED that:

4 The Verizon Defendants need not answer or otherwise respond to the complaints in  
5 *United States v. Palermino*, No. 07-1326; *United States v. Adams*, No. 07-1323; *United States v.*  
6 *Rabner*, No. 07-1324; and *United States v. Volz*, No. 07-1396 until further order of the Court.

7 **PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.**

8 Dated: \_\_\_\_\_, 2007.

9  
10 \_\_\_\_\_  
11 Hon. Vaughn R. Walker  
12 United States District Chief Judge  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28